



Anxiety Level of Family Physicians and Family Health Workers in COVID-19 Pandemic

COVID-19 Pandemisinde Aile Hekimleri ve Aile Sağlığı Elemanlarında Anksiyete Düzeyi

Hümeyra Aslaner¹, Ali Ramazan Benli², Serkan Yıldız², Taner Şahin¹, Mebrure Beyza Gökçek², Selçuk Mıstık³

ABSTRACT

Introduction: It has been observed that outbreaks experienced throughout history have caused anxiety by profoundly affecting societies. COVID-19 pandemic soon affected the entire world and caused the deaths of thousands of people. Frequently encountering COVID-19 patients increase the anxiety levels of healthcare workers during the pandemic. This study aimed to determine the anxiety levels of healthcare workers of family health centers in Kayseri during the pandemic. **Materials and Methods:** A total of 214 physicians and family health workers between the ages of 20 and 65 and actively working in Family Health Centers in Kayseri participated in the study. The Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) was used to determine the level of anxiety. **Results:** Of 214 people who participated in the study, 71.0% were female, 82.7% were married, 52.8% were physicians and 47.2% were family health workers. Their mean age was 38.85. Median employment duration was 14 (min-max: 1-34) years and the median Beck Anxiety Inventory Score was 17 (min-max: 0-63). Of the participants, 53.7% stated that they attended a training program on COVID-19 while 84.1% stated that the outbreak had psychologically affected them. **Conclusion:** The pandemic has increased the anxiety level of healthcare workers. Mental health of healthcare workers in family health centers affects the quality of the service they provide in the primary care. Therefore, psychosocial support teams should be built up in our country and around the world, and health workers should be supported psychologically as well as patients.

Keywords: COVID-19, family physician, family health worker, anxiety

ÖZET

Giriş: Tarih boyunca yaşanan salgınların toplumları derinden etkileyerek anksiyete oluşturduğu görülmüştür. COVID-19 salgını kısa sürede tüm dünyada etkisini göstermiş ve binlerce kişinin COVID-19 nedeniyle öldüğü bildirilmiştir. Salgın döneminde sağlık çalışanlarının COVID-19 hastaları ile sık karşılaşmaları anksiyete düzeylerini artırmaktadır. Bu çalışmanın amacı Kayseri’de hizmet veren aile sağlığı merkezlerinde çalışanların salgın dönemindeki anksiyete düzeylerini belirlemektir. **Yöntem:** Çalışmaya telefonla ulaşılan 20 ile 65 yaş arası Kayseri’de bulunan aile sağlığı merkezlerinde aktif olarak çalışan 214 doktor ve aile sağlığı elemanı katılmıştır. Anksiyete düzeyini belirlemek için Beck Anksiyete Indexi (BAI) kullanılmıştır. **Bulgular:** Çalışmaya katılan 214 kişinin %71’i kadın, %82,7’si evli, %52,8’i doktor, %47,2’si aile sağlığı elemanıydı. Yaş ortalaması 38,85 idi. Görev süresi ortancası 14 (min-max:1-34) yıl ve Beck Anksiyete Skor ortancası 17 (min-max: 0-63) idi. Katılımcıların %53,7’si COVID-19 hakkında eğitim aldığını, %84,1’i salgının kendilerini ruhsal olarak etkilediğini belirtmiştir. **Sonuç:** Pandemi sağlık çalışanlarının anksiyete düzeyini artırmıştır. Aile sağlığı merkezlerindeki sağlık çalışanlarının ruhsal sağlığının iyi olması birinci basamakta verdikleri hizmetin kalitesini etkilemektedir. Bunun için ülkemizde ve dünyada psikososyal destek ekipleri kurulmalı ve hastalar ile birlikte sağlık çalışanları da psikolojik olarak desteklenmelidir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: COVID-19, aile hekimi, aile sağlığı çalışanı, anksiyete

Received / Geliş tarihi: 22.08.2020, Accepted / Kabul tarihi: 29.03.2021

¹ Kayseri City Hospital, Family Medicine, Kayseri, Turkey

² Health Directorate of Kayseri, Kayseri, Turkey

³ Department of Family Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

*Address for Correspondence / Yazışma Adresi: Hümeyra Aslaner, Kayseri City Hospital, Family Medicine, Kayseri – TURKEY, E-mail: drhumeyra@hotmail.com

Aslaner H, Benli AR, Yıldız S, Şahin T, Gökçek MB, Mıstık S. Anxiety Level of Family Physicians and Family Health Workers in COVID-19 Pandemic. TJFMPC, 2021;15(2): 398-403.

DOI: 10.21763/tjfm.783532

INTRODUCTION

Anxiety can be defined as an abnormal and causeless uneasiness and condition of fear accompanied by somatic symptoms.¹ Anxiety can also be defined as apprehension or mope.² It has been observed that outbreaks experienced throughout history have caused anxiety by profoundly affecting societies.³ The World Health Organization (WHO) declared a new coronavirus outbreak a global pandemic on 11th of March, 2020.⁴ This new coronavirus was called SARS-CoV-2, and the disease it caused was called Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19). It is known that SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted from human to human via respiratory droplets or direct contact. Incubation period of the infection is estimated to be between 2-14 days and its main reproduction coefficient between 2.24-3.58.⁵

The outbreak affected the whole world within a short time and caused deaths of thousands of people.⁶ All of these resulted in high anxiety and worry in the society. Anxiety levels of healthcare workers increase due to conditions such as frequently encountering COVID-19 patients during pandemic, increased workload, fear of being infected or contaminating their parents, and inadequate personal protective equipment.^{7,8} This study aimed to determine anxiety levels of healthcare workers in Kayseri during the pandemic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study was designed as web-based research and performed with a multiple-choice survey technique. Family physicians and family health workers working in family health centers of Kayseri were called and the questionnaire in Turkish was sent to them via text message or e-mail with its link. The participants were asked to complete Beck Anxiety Inventory to determine their anxiety levels. Besides, demographic data and employment durations of the participants and how many times they washed their hands within a day were questioned. Presence of a chronic disease or psychiatric disease, regular medication use or psychiatric medication use, alcohol and smoking habits, whether they had children or not, whether they lived with someone older than 65, their family structures, and their opinions about protective equipment were questioned. Our study was a cross-sectional descriptive study.

Sample Size

Population of the study consisted of family physicians and family health workers between the ages of 20 and 65 who worked in family health

centers in Kayseri City Center. Family health centers were selected randomly. Randomization was made to be a family health center from each county. Healthcare workers who were actively working as physicians and family health workers in family health centers in Kayseri and who gave consent to participate in the study were included. Four out of 218 people who did not accept to give consent were excluded from the study and as a result, 214 people who gave consent formed the sample. They were asked to complete an online questionnaire form between 25th-30th May, 2020.

G*power 3.1 analysis program was used for power analysis in this study for determination of the sample size. The minimum number of the participants to include in the study was determined as 197 (α -value:0.05, β -value:0.80). Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) was used to determine the anxiety level. These are multiple-choice inventories and get scores according to the point of each item.

Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI): Beck, Epstein, Brown and Steer developed it in 1988. The objective of the inventory is to determine the frequency and severity of anxiety symptoms the individuals have.⁹ Validity and reliability test of the inventory in Turkey was performed by Ulusoy, Şahin and Erkman.^{10,11} It consists of 21 questions with 4-point Likert type (0: Not at all, 1: Mildly- It did not bother me much, 2: Moderately- It was very unpleasant, but I could stand it, and 3: Severely- I could barely stand it. According to BAI, total point the individuals get is between a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 63. BAI score between 8 points and 15 points is accepted as mild level of anxiety, the score between 16 points and 25 points as moderate level of anxiety, and the score between 25-63 as increased anxiety level. Basic epidemiological information was questioned in addition to this scale.

Ethical Considerations

Our study was performed with the approval (105; 06/2020) of ethics committee of Kayseri City Training and Research Hospital. Consents of the participants were obtained.

Statistical Analysis

Mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum values were calculated for continuous variables and descriptive statistics were calculated as numbers and percentiles for categorical variables. Chi-Square test was used in determination of the relationship between the groups and categorical variables. Whether numerical data of the variables were normally distributed or not was determined with one-sample Kolmogorov Smirnov test. Student t-test was used in comparison of parametric two-

groups and Mann Whitney U test was used in comparison of nonparametric two-groups. SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. $p < 0.05$ was accepted as the statistically significant value.

RESULTS

Of 214 participants in the study, 71.0% were female, 82.7% were married, 52.8% were physicians, and 47.2% were family health workers. Median age of the participants was 40 (20-62). Of the participants, 7.5% used alcohol, 28.5% used cigarettes, 24.8% had a chronic disease and 8.9% had a psychiatric disease. Of the participants, 5.1% stated that they lived alone and 78.5% stated that they had children. Median employment duration was 14 (min-max: 1-34) and median Beck Anxiety Inventory Score was 17 (min-max: 0-63) (Table 1)

Characteristics	Number (n) (%)
Gender	
Female	152 (71.0)
Male	62 (29.0)
Marital Status	
Single	37 (17.3)
Married	177 (82.7)
Profession	
Physician	113 (52.8)
Family Health Worker	101 (47.2)
Do you smoke?	
Yes	61 (28.5)
No	153 (71.5)
Do you use alcohol?	
Yes	16 (7.5)
No	198 (92.5)
Do you have a chronic disease?	
Yes	53 (24.8)
No	161 (75.2)
Is there a drug that you regularly use? (Except for psychiatric drugs)	
Yes	53 (24.8)
No	161 (75.2)
How is your family type?	
Single	11 (5.1)
Nuclear Family	174 (81.3)
Extended Family	26 (12.1)
Other	3 (1.4)
Do you have any children?	
Yes	168 (78.5)
No	46 (21.5)
Do you have any psychiatric disease?	
Yes	19 (8.9)
No	195 (91.1)
Do you use a psychiatric disease?	
Yes	53 (24.8)
No	161 (75.2)

Of the participants, 53.7% stated that they attended a training program on COVID-19, 84.1% stated that the outbreak psychologically affected them, 74.8% stated that protective equipment and disinfectants were inadequate in the family health center and 87.4% stated that they were afraid of being infected with COVID-19. Of the participants, 23.4% lived with an elderly or an individual with a chronic disease (Table 2).

Questions	Number (n) (%)
Have you attended a training program on COVID-19 infection?	
Yes	115 (53.7)
No	99 (46.3)
Do you think COVID-19 pandemic psychologically affects you?	
Yes	180 (84.1)
No	20 (9.3)
Not sure	14 (6.5)
Do you think personal protective equipment and disinfectant agents in the family health center are adequate?	
Yes	54 (25.2)
No	160 (74.8)
Do you believe protective equipment such as mask, medical gown and medical safety glasses protect you?	
Yes	42 (19.6)
No	172 (80.4)
Are you afraid of being infected with COVID-19?	
Yes	187 (87.4)
No	27 (12.6)
Do you live with an individual older than 65 years old or an individual with a chronic disease?	
Yes	50 (23.4)
No	164 (76.6)

According to severity of the anxiety in terms of gender, anxiety level of women was higher (84.2%) ($p=0.003$). According to severity of the anxiety, there was no difference between the groups in terms of professions ($p=0.129$). There was no difference in Beck Anxiety Inventory Score between participants with and without chronic disease ($p=0.111$). However, anxiety level was proportionally higher among family health workers (83.2%) (Table 3-4).

	Anxiety Level				P value
	None Number (%)	Mild Number (%)	Moderate Number(%)	Severe Number (%)	
Physician	30 (26.5)	31 (27.4)	31 (27.4)	21 (18.6)	0.129
Family Health Worker	17 (16.8)	25 (24.8)	28 (27.7)	31 (30.7)	
Men (62)	23 (22.0)	17 (26.2)	12 (27.6)	10 (24.3)	0.003
Women (152)	24 (15.8)	39 (25.7)	47 (30.9)	42 (27.6)	
Total	47 (22.0)	56 (26.2)	59 (27.6)	52 (24.3)	

Chi-square test was used

Characteristics(N)		Anxiety Score Median (min-max)	n	P
Profession	Family Health Worker (101)	18 (0-63)	4652	0.020
	Physician (113)	15 (0-55)		
Gender	Female (152)	18 (0-59)	3234	<0.001
	Male (62)	9.5 (0-63)		
Training on COVID-19	Yes (115)	18 (0-59)	5032	0.144
	No (99)	15 (0-63)		
Status of Finding Protective Equipment Adequate	Yes (54)	15 (0-43)	3654	0.090
	No (160)	17 (0-63)		
Status of Living with an Individual Older than 65 or with a chronic disease	Yes (50)	20.5 (1-63)	3256	0.028
	No (164)	15 (0-59)		
Employment Duration	Less than 10 years (58)	16 (0-56)	4405	0.768
	10 years or more (156)	17 (0-63)		
Status of Having a Psychiatric Disease	Yes (19)	20 (1-63)	1307	0.034
	No (195)	16 (0-56)		

Mann Whitney U test was used.

DISCUSSION

Severe level of anxiety was detected in 24.3% of the participants in this study. In comparison of anxiety scores, the anxiety level was higher in family health workers than in physicians, in women, and in those who lived with an individual older than 65 years old. The rate of those stating that current events about COVID-19 psychologically affected them was 84.1%.

Anxiety disorder is a psychiatric disorder common in society. According to the data of national research in which the prevalence of psychological diseases was investigated in the USA, the prevalence rates were 30.5% in women and 19.2% in men within a lifetime.¹² It is known that prevalence rates of anxiety subtypes are higher in women than in men.¹³ In this study, anxiety score of female healthcare workers working in the family health center was higher than that of male healthcare workers. While the rate of those who did not show any anxiety symptoms were 15.8% in women and 22.0% in men.

In a study performed with Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) scale among healthcare workers in Wuhan, anxiety symptoms were detected in 24.1% of healthcare workers. In this

study, 24.3% had severe anxiety symptoms. In the study in Wuhan, employment duration longer than 10 years, female gender and presence of psychiatric disease were found to be risk factors for increased stress.¹³

In a study performed on primary healthcare workers including 79 physicians and 86 nurses in Gansu, China with self-rating anxiety scale (SAS), self-rating depression scale (SDS) and simplified coping style questionnaire (SCSQ), while the prevalence rates of anxiety and depression symptoms in physicians were 11.4% and 45.6% respectively the prevalence rates of anxiety and depression symptoms in nurses were 27.9% and 43.0% respectively.¹⁴

The Impact of Event Scale aims to measure the stress of individuals experiencing trauma during they complete the scale.¹⁵ A study performed with the Impact of Event Scale during SARS outbreak in Taiwan in 2003 found that scores of the Impact of Event Scale decreased as the employment duration extended.¹⁶ In this study, anxiety levels were higher in female healthcare workers in family health centers than in male healthcare workers and in those with a psychiatric disease than in those without a psychiatric disease. No statistically significant difference was found between anxiety levels of those

whose employment duration was longer than 10 years and those whose employment duration was shorter than 10 years.

In a study performed with a 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire, 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale, 7-item Insomnia Severity Index, and 22-item Impact of Event Scale in January-February 2020 during COVID-19 pandemic in China, 50.4% of healthcare workers had depression, 44.6% had anxiety and 34.0% had insomnia.¹⁷ It was reported in a study in Taiwan that 77.4% of healthcare workers had anxiety symptoms and 74.2% had depression symptoms during SARS outbreak.¹⁶ In a study performed to measure social mental health burden of COVID-19 by Huang et al. in China, anxiety level, presence of depressive symptoms and sleep quality of general population were questioned. The prevalence of anxiety disorder was 35.1% in general population and 35.6% among healthcare workers. The difference was not statistically significant.¹⁸ In this study, the total rate of family health center healthcare workers with mild, moderate and severe anxiety levels were 78.0%.

It is known that providing adequate protective equipment for healthcare workers in family health centers decreases their stress.⁷ In this study, median anxiety scores of those who stated that personal protective equipment provided for them were inadequate were compared with the scores of those who stated that theirs were adequate and no statistically significant difference was found out. However, the rate of individuals who stated that personal protective equipment provided for them was inadequate was 74.8% in this study and providing personal protective equipment was quite important for healthcare workers to protect themselves from the pandemic. In the study of Taş et al., 90% of family physicians stated that they were not provided with adequate protective equipment or insufficiently provided. The anxiety experienced by the whole society at the beginning of the pandemic caused the demand for excess products. However, the measures taken and the distribution of protective equipment, especially the distribution of masks, were determined by the rules in a short time, which solved this problem.¹⁹

Liu et al. used the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) in their study in which the factors affecting anxiety in healthcare workers in China were investigated and found that prevalence of anxiety was 12.5% in healthcare workers.²⁰

A large number of studies asserting that the course of COVID-19 infection is more mortal in patients with advanced age have been published.^{21,22,23} Higher rate of mortality in advanced age may cause anxiety to transmit the

disease in those who live with individuals with advanced age. In this study, 23.4% stated that they lived with an individual older than 65 years old. Anxiety score of those living with an individual older than 65 years old was found to be statistically higher than the anxiety score of those who did not live with such an individual.

Study Limitations

The limitation of our study is that it could not be interviewed face to face with the participants due to the pandemic and that it was done online.

Conclusions

During the pandemic, most of the hospitals were announced as pandemic hospitals and almost all the physicians working in the hospital served in these services. This has increased the appeal to family medicine healthcare centers. Having family doctors to work in this way has increased their workload.¹⁹ Besides, they are afraid of transmitting the infection to their family members. Many healthcare workers died during the pandemic. Primary care physicians are the first point of contact with patients. Family physicians are maintaining the outpatient clinic services, which secondary and tertiary healthcare services are struggling to sustain during the pandemic. For sure, the quality of service that healthcare workers in family health centers provide is affected by their mental health. Therefore, psychosocial support teams should be built up in our country and around the world and health workers should be supported psychologically as well as patients.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Bal U, Çakmak S, Uğuz Ş. Anksiyete bozukluklarında cinsiyete göre semptom farklılıkları. *Arşiv Kaynak Tarama Dergisi*. 2013;22(4):441-459.
2. Karamustafaloğlu O, Yumrukçal H. Depresyon ve anksiyete bozuklukları. *Şişli Etfal Hastanesi Tıp Bülteni*. 2011;45(2):65-74.
3. Ekiz T, İlman E, Dönmez E. Bireylerin Sağlık Anksiyetesi Düzeyleri İle COVID-19 Salgını Kontrol Algısının Karşılaştırılması. *Uluslararası Sağlık Yönetimi ve Stratejileri Araştırma Dergisi*. 2020;6(1):139-154.
4. Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO declares COVID-19 a pandemic. *Acta bio-medica: Atenei Parmensis*. 2020;91(1):157-160.

5. Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HJ, Hsueh PR. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and corona virus disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. *International journal of antimicrobial agents*. 2020;105924.
6. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): situation report. 2020;129.
7. Kisely S, Warren N, McMahon L, Dalais C, Henry I, Siskind D. Occurrence, prevention and management of the psychological effects of emerging virus outbreaks on healthcare workers: rapid review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2020;369.
8. Gold Jessica A. Covid-19: adverse mental health outcomes for healthcare workers. *BMJ* 2020; 369 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1815>
9. Maslach C, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. *Maslach burnout inventory manual*. Palo Alto Calif Consult Psychol Press Inc. 1996.
10. Beck AT, Epstein N, Brown G, Steer RA. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1988;56(6):893.
11. Ulusoy M, Sahin NH, Erkmen H. The Beck anxiety inventory: psychometric properties. *J Cogn Psychother*. 1998;12(2):163-72.
12. Regier DA, Narrow WE, Rae DS. The epidemiology of anxiety disorders: The epidemiologic catchment area (ECA) experience. *Journal of psychiatric research*. 1990;24:3-14.
13. Zhu Z, Xu S, Wang H, Liu Z, Wu J, Li G et al. COVID-19 in Wuhan: Immediate Psychological Impact on 5062 Health Workers. *MedRxiv* 2020.
14. Zhu J, Sun L, Zhang L, Wang H, Fan A, Yang B et al. Prevalence and Influencing Factors of Anxiety and Depression Symptoms in the First-Line Medical Staff Fighting Against COVID-19 in Gansu. *Front. Psychiatry* 2020; 11.
15. Corapcıoglu A Yargıcı İ, Geyran P, Kocabasoglu N. Olayların Etkisi Ölçeği (IES-R) Türkçe Versiyonunun Gecerlilik ve Güvenilirliği. *Yeni Symposium*. 2006. p. 14-22.
16. Chong MY, Wang WC, Hsieh WC, Lee CY, Chiu NM, Yeh WC et al. Psychological impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on health workers in a tertiary hospital. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2004;185(2):127-33.
17. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA network open*, 3(3), e203976-e203976.
18. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Research*. 2020; 112954.
19. Taş BG, Özceylan G, Öztürk GZ ve Toprak D. (2020). COVID-19 Pandemi Döneminde Aile Hekimlerinin İş Yüklerinin Değerlendirilmesi-Türkiye Örneği. *Toplum sağlığı dergisi* , 1-9.
20. Liu CY, Yang YZ, Zhang XM, Xu X, Dou QL, Zhang WW et al. The prevalence and influencing factors in anxiety in medical workers fighting COVID-19 in China: a cross-sectional survey. *Epidemiology & Infection*. 2020;1-17.
21. Dowd JB, Andriano L, Brazel DM, Rotondi V, Block P, Ding X et al. Demographic science aids in understanding the spread and fatality rates of COVID-19. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2020;117(18):9696-9698.
22. Russell TW, Hellewell J, Jarvis CI, Van Zandvoort K, Abbott S, Ratnayake R et al. Estimating the infection and case fatality ratio for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) using age-adjusted data from the outbreak on the Diamond Princess cruise ship, February 2020. *Eurosurveillance*. 2020;25(12):2000256.
23. Porcheddu R, Serra C, Kelvin D, Kelvin N, Rubino S. Similarity in case fatality rates (CFR) of COVID-19/SARS-COV-2 in Italy and China. *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries* 2020; 14(02):125-128.